

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/414,547	10/08/1999	TOKIMORI TOMITA	122.1046-D/G	3462	
21171 75	590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HA	ALSEY LLP		KARMIS, S	TEFANOS	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3624		

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/414,547	TOMITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stefano Karmis	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fermannian</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fermannian</u>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 78-91 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 78-91 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/414,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 27 February
 2006.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 78-91 are currently amended. Claims 1-77, 92 and 93 are canceled. Therefore claims 78-91 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 27 February have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as discussed below. Therefore claims 78-91 stand rejected and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/414,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 78-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. (hereinafter Schultz) U.S. Patent No. 5,056,019 in view of Humble U.S. Patent No. 4,949,256.

Regarding claim 78, Schultz teaches a point management system connected with a customer terminal via a communication link, employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives services according to the issued points, as discussed in the previous office action mailed 25 August 2005. Applicant states in the remarks that Schultz fails to teach a notifying unit to notify the customer of the cumulative points if the customer is successfully identified by a customer identification unit without requiring that the customer execute a transaction. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Schultz teaches that a customer purchases products and that a record is kept of the reward products purchased (column 7, lines 45-65). Schultz teaches that periodically, usually monthly, the customer receives a status report, which notifies the customer of his cumulative purchase rewards (points) as well as other rewards available (column 8, lines 42-68). This notification is a monthly notification, and is not in response to requiring that the customer perform a purchase. Further, Schultz teaches that the data is stored according to an identification code of the consumer (column 8, lines 25-29). This

Art Unit: 3624

data incorporates transaction data, but it does not require that the customer have executed a transaction. If the customer executes no transaction in a given month, the status report will still retrieve the same data and be sent to the customer.

Applicant also asserts that the customer terminal accesses a designated website when notifying the customer of the cumulative points. The Examiner was unable to find support in the specification where the customer terminal accesses a website and Applicant did not point out any specific passages supporting this limitation. Therefore the Examiner interprets the website to be any host computer accessed to retrieve notification information. Schultz teaches a program management computer system that is a host computer and it provides information on the purchase rewards used to notify the customer (column 7, lines 45-68 and Figure 2). For these reasons, claim 78 stands rejected. Claims 79-91 contain similar limitations as that of claim 78 and therefore stand rejected in a similar manner and as stated in the previous office action, mailed 25 August 2005.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/414,547 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 28 April 2006

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER